IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

CITY OF DAYTON, :

Case No. 3:11-cv-383

Plaintiff,

Magistrate Judge Michael J. Newman

-vs- : (Consent Case)

A.R. ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants. :

ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT ALEX PENLAND'S *PRO SE* THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS AGAINST AGIS SURETY COMPANY AND AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY COMPANY

The Court was recently notified that Defendant/Third-Party Plaintiff Alex Penland had not properly served Third-Party Defendants Agis Surety Company ("Agis") and American Contractors Indemnity Company ("ACI"): the individual who had accepted the summonses was not an agent authorized to accept service of process for Agis and/or ACI. *See* doc. 108; Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(h). Shortly thereafter, the Court issued an Order to Defendant Alex Penland to show cause, on or before October 12, 2012, why it should not dismiss Agis and ACI for failing to properly serve these business entities in accordance with Rule 4(h) (*e.g.*, by serving an authorized agent) within Rule 4(m)'s 120-day deadline. *See* doc. 111. Instead of responding to the Show Cause Order, Penland filed a motion apparently requesting that the Court order American Contracting Services, Inc. – a Third-Party Defendant that has been dismissed from this case – to provide him with the names of these entities' statutory agents. *See* doc. 112.

To prevent the dismissal of his third-party claims against Agis and ACI under Rule 4(m), Penland must show that good cause exists to excuse his failure to timely and properly serve these parties. See Nafziger v. McDermott Int'l, Inc., 467 F.3d 514, 521-22 (6th Cir. 2006). He has failed

to do so. Accordingly, his third-party claims against Agis Surety Company and American

Contractors Indemnity Company are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**; and the Clerk is

ORDERED to terminate them upon the docket.

Further, as Penland has failed to show how dismissed Third-Party Defendant American

Contracting Services, Inc. has an obligation to provide him with the names of the statutory agents for

Agis and ACI, his motion (doc. 112) is **DENIED**.

October 16, 2012

s/ Michael J. Newman

United States Magistrate Judge

2